

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,819	07/23/2003	Charles M. Coushaine	02-1-874	7803
7:	7590 06/04/2004		EXAMINER	
OSRAM SYLVANIA Inc.			LEE, Y MY QUACH	
100 Endicott Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Danvers, MA 01923			2875	

DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence		10/625,819	COUSHAINE ET A	COUSHAINE ET AL.			
Office Action S	ummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Y Quach Lee	2875	10**			
Th MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	ears on the cover sheet	with th correspondenc add	iress			
	IS COMMUNICATION.  Inder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 g date of this communication. Is less than thirty (30) days, a reply ie, the maximum statutory period w led period for reply will, by statute, than three months after the mailing	6(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of ill apply and will expire SIX (6) No cause the application to become	e a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this core ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed on <u>23 <i>Ju</i></u>	ly 2003.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .		action is non-final.					
•	s in condition for allowan with the practice under <i>E</i>	•	atters, prosecution as to the C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	merits is			
Disposition of Claims							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-12</u> is/are all 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5-7</u> is 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3 and 4</u> is/are	(s) is/are withdraw owed. /are rejected.						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is obj	ected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	· -	- · ·	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sh			ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CF ned Office Action or form PT				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
2.☐ Certified copies 3.☐ Copies of the ce	None of: of the priority documents of the priority documents ritified copies of the prior the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in ity documents have be i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National S	Stage			
Attachment(s)							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-2)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D</li> </ol>	892) rawing Review (PTO-948)		w Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statements     Paper No(s)/Mail Date			of Informal Patent Application (PTO	-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/625,819

Art Unit: 2875

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

#### Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 4, paragraph 0017, line 1, the term "of" should be inserted after the term "view". On page 4, paragraph 0018, line 1, the term "of" should be inserted after the term "view". On page 6, line 7, the language "The the" is improper and should be changed to --The--. On page 6, paragraph 0025, line 4, "tothe" is improper and should be changed to --to the--. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Objections

2. Claims 3 and 5 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 3, line 2, there is no proper antecedent basis for "said U". It should be changed to --said U-shaped--. In claim 5, line 1, the language "fits a ..." is improper and should be changed to --fits in a ...--; line 4, there is a typographical error such as the term "existiong". It should be changed to --existing--. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2 and 5 to 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Verdes et al. in view of Hochstein.

Verdes et al. disclose a base having a power supply (14, 15) and formed to be received into a socket (12, column 5, lines 4 to 5), the base having a receptacle (figure 3) including a retainer receptacle formed therein, an axially extending support (35) fitted into the base and being formed of an electrically conductive heat sinking material, a plurality of solid state light sources (31) connected to a selected area of the support through wires, the support including a retainer (33) engaging the retainer receptacle, the base fitting in a preexisting socket such as the socket designed for a filament lamp (column 5, lines 4 to 5), and the light sources located on the support mimic the location of a filament in the filament lamp design for the pre-existing socket,

Art Unit: 2875

and a light transmitting envelope (11) surrounding the light sources. However, Verdes et al. do not disclose an electrically insulating coating on the support which is aluminum and electrically conductive traces on the insulating coating for electrically connecting to the light sources.

Hochstein teaches that it is known in the light emitting diode assemblies art to include an electrically insulating coating (16) on an electrically and thermally conductive aluminum heat sink support (12) with electrically conductive traces (18) disposed over the insulating coating to not only establish discrete and electrically conductive paths for electrically interconnecting a plurality of light emitting diodes (20) and to provide electrical connection to the power supply for powering the light emitting diodes but to also prevent a short circuit.

Since Verdes et al. and Hochstein are both from the light emitting diodes assemblies; the purpose disclosed by Hochstein would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Verdes. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the support of Verdes et al. with an electrically insulating coating and conductive traces disposed over the insulating coating and to form the support of Verdes et al. with aluminum, as shown by Hochstein, for integrally and electrically interconnecting the light emitting diodes through the conductive traces while minimizing the tendency of exposing to a short circuit and to overall optimize the life expectancy of the light sources.

- 5. Claims 3 and 4 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objection set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claims 8 to 12 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hochstein et al. and Madadi et al. are cited to show other pertinent light emitting diodes assemblies.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Y Quach Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-2373. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday and Thursday from 8:30 am to 4:30 pm.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

Application/Control Number: 10/625,819

Page 4

Art Unit: 2875

should be directed to the Customer Service whose telephone number is 571-272-2815.

Y. Q. May 25, 2004 Y Quach Lee Patent Examiner Art Unit 2875